

T.A./K.W.

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 173 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 15 JAN 2016

**C.A. No. 54/2015 IN O.A. No. 739/2014 With
C.A. No. 55/2015 IN O.A. No. 740/2014 With
C.A. No. 56/2015 IN O.A. No. 741/2014. (D.B.)**

1. Shri. Deepak W. Bagul, C.A. No. 54/15 IN O.A. No. 739/14
 2. Shri Kailas V. Barve, C.A. No. 55/15 IN O.A. No. 740/14
 3. Shri Jitendra S. Sonawane, C.A. No. 56/15 IN O.A. No. 741/14
- C/o. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicants.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 Shri Sanjeev Dayal, The D.G. and
I.G. Police, M.S., Mumbai, Having
office at Old Council Hall,
S.B.Marg, Mumbai-39.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 11th day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **11.01.2016.**

ORDER : 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar states as follows :-

Though there is delay in taking decision it may not be the case of willful contempt.

3. In view of above statement, C.A. is disposed of.

**Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman.**

Amal
14/1/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**